Sentence Review Division 301 S. Park, Suite 328 P.O. Box 203005 Helena, MT 59620-3005 Phone: (406) 841-2976

Email: shellysmith@mt.gov

SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION OF THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF MONTANA

AUG 1 4 2020

SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF MONTANA

STATE OF MONTANA,)	Cause No. DC-19-117
)	
	Plaintiff,)	Powell County District Court
)	Montana Third Judicial District
-VS-)	
)	DECISION
JOSEPH DWAYNE MATT,)	
)	
	Defendant.	_)	

On February 18, 2020, the Defendant was sentenced to a commitment to the Montana Department of Corrections for a term of Three (3) years, for the offense of Count I: Escape, a Felony, in violation of §45-7-306, MCA. The sentence was ordered to run consecutively to any underlying sentence. It was ordered the Defendant shall not associate with Crystal Harris, under §46-18-202(1)(c), MCA, absent prior written approval from the Montana Department of Corrections or his assigned Montana Probation & Parole Officer. No credit for time served was given to the Defendant as he was incarcerated and serving time on an underlying sentence the entire time this case was pending.

On August 6, 2020, the Defendant's Application for review of that sentence was heard by the Sentence Review Division of the Montana Supreme Court (hereafter "the Division"). The Defendant appeared by video from the Montana State Prison, and was represented by Dan Biddulph, Defense Counsel, who appeared by video from Missoula, Montana. The State was not represented. The Defendant gave a statement.

Before hearing the Application, the Defendant was advised that the Division has the authority not only to reduce the sentence or affirm it, but also increase it. The Defendant was further advised that there is no appeal from a decision of the Division. The Defendant acknowledged that he understood this and stated that he wished to proceed.

Rule 12, Rules of the Sentence Review Division of the Supreme Court of Montana, provides that, "The sentence imposed by the District Court is presumed correct. The sentence shall not be reduced or increased unless it is clearly inadequate or clearly excessive." (Section 46-18-904(3), MCA).

The Division finds that the reasons advanced for modification are insufficient to hold that the sentence imposed by the District Court is clearly inadequate or clearly excessive.

Therefore, it is the unanimous decision of the Division that the sentence is AFFIRMED.

Done in open Court this 6th day of August, 2020.

DATED this 14th day of August, 2020.

SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION

Hon. Dan Wilson, Chairperson

Hon. Luke Berger, Member

Hon. Jessica Fehr, Member

Copies mailed or emailed this

day of August, 2020, to:

Clerk of District Court – via email
Joseph Dwayne Matt #3007296, Defendant
Hon. Ray Dayton – via email
Dan Biddulph, Defense Counsel– via email
State Office of the Public Defender – via email

Patrick Moody, Esq. - via email

Shelly Smith, Office Administrator

Sentence Review Division